(1) SINGLE BENCH

(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 3415 / 2006

(5)

Petitioner : Arun Kumar Jha,
S/o Shri R. D. Jha,
Aged about 53 years,
Currently posted as Sub Div. Officer,
Sub Division Kawardha, Kawardha
Forest Division, KabirDham,
R/o C-1, Anupam Nagar, Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3486/06
Presented by Shri Manish Sharma
dated 16/7/06

Versus

Respondents :
1. State of Chhattisgarh Through
The Secretary, Forest Department, DKS
Bhawan, Raipur, District: Raipur- (C.G.)

2. The Principal Chief Conservator Of
Forest, Forest Department, Raipur,
District: Raipur- (C.G.)

3. Divisional Forest Officer,
Kawardha Forest Division.
District KabirDham (C.G.)

4. K.K. Sharma, Officer Incharge,Narangi
Area, Bijapur Forest Division

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA 10/07/06 HIGH COURT CHHATTISGARH

RECEIVED-COPY 16/7/06 C.F. A.I.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ....W.P.3415/.... **सन् 2006**

(28)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	**12-7-2006** Mr. Maneesh Sharma, counsel for the petitioner. Miss Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1 to 3, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned his transfer order dated 7-7-2006 passed by respondent No.1 on the ground that he has been transferred within a short period of 18 months and that he has been transferred to Bijapur whereas he has already worked for about 6 years in the Bastar region from 1986 to 1992, and the person who has been transferred at the place of the petitioner has worked only for two years in the Bastar region. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the transfer of the petitioner is against the transfer policy, therefore, he may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1 to 3 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merit of the case, the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances annexing a copy of the writ petition to respondent No.1 within ten days from today and respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation within one month from the date of its receipt after considering the points raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.2620/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo**	

19.7.06 13.7.06